

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. APBI-P08-317 Gerald Crabtree 11/13/2001 10/054,712 05/20/2003 7590 28120 EXAMINER **ROPES & GRAY LLP** LAMBERTSON, DAVID A ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1636 DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary Examiner | |
|---|------|
| David A. Lambertson The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2001 This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2001. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2001. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2001. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | |
| 20/11 17/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 10/10/10 | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | 1 |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicati | on). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachment(s) | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/054,712

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, 12-16 and 27-31, drawn to a DNA construct encoding a receptor domain fused to a heterologous apoptosis-inducing domain, a vector comprising the construct, a method of transfecting cells with the vector, the resulting host cells, and kits relating to the construct, vector and cells, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claim 11, drawn to a chimeric polypeptide comprising a receptor domain fused to a heterologous apoptosis-inducing domain, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 17-26, drawn to a method of producing a pharmaceutical composition for ablating genetically engineered cells, classified in class 435, subclass 440.
- IV. Claims 32-35, drawn to an organism/animal comprising cells comprising a DNA construct encoding receptor domain fused to a heterologous apoptosis-inducing domain, classified in class 800, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and are not disclosed as capable of being used together. In the instant case, the DNA construct (I) and polypeptide (II) are distinct molecules having different structures and therefore different functions. For example, the function of the DNA

Application/Control Number: 10/054,712

Art Unit: 1636

construct is to encode a polypeptide, whereas the function of the polypeptide is to perform a signaling event in response to a ligand. Because the inventions have different functions, they are considered patentably distinct inventions.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process, such as the recombinant production of a polypeptide for use in crystallographic studies.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and are not disclosed as capable of being used together. For example, a single cell (I) is far less complex than an entire multi-cellular organism (IV), therefore the functions of the multi-cellular organism are far more complex, such as a use in an animal model for disease. Because the inventions have distinct functions, they are considered patentably distinct.

Inventions II and III-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and are not disclosed as capable of being used

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/054,712

Art Unit: 1636

together. Specifically, the polypeptide (II) cannot be used to prepare a pharmaceutical composition comprised of cells (III) because an isolated protein is not capable of functionally producing a cell. For the same reason, a polypeptide is not capable of producing a complete organism (IV). Therefore, the inventions have different functions hence the inventions are considered patentably distinct.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects and are not disclosed as capable of being used together. Invention III results in the production of a pharmaceutical composition, whereas the outcome of invention IV is an organism. These outcomes are clearly distinct, therefore the inventions are considered patentably distinct because they have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. In addition, these inventions require non-patent literature searches that are not co-extensive, hence simultaneous searches would be burdensome. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,712

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Lambertson whose telephone number is (703) 308-8365. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David A. Lambertson March 21, 2003

PATENT EXAMINED DE CONTRACTOR OF LA CONTRACTOR OF LOTTERS OF LOTTE